

## QAPP Standard 2105-S-02 Review Checklist

<b>Project Title:</b>	
<b>QATS #:</b>	
<b>Date of QAPP:</b>	
<b>Site Manager:</b>	
<b>QAPP Author:</b>	

**General considerations:**

- 1) The central point of the review is on content over format.
- 2) Focus is to ensure QAPP components are addressed and not on an opinion on the adequacy of the project.
- 3) If a QAPP element does not apply, it still needs to be included with a statement explaining why it does not apply.
- 4) If information is adequately addressed in a separate document, a complete reference to that document can be included in the QAPP.
- 5) Referenced documents need to be available or made available at the time of the review of the QAPP.
- 6) The period of applicability of a QAPP is for the project period or five years, whichever is LESS.

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
A1 Title Page					
	"Quality Assurance Project Plan" and Title				
	Name of organization				
	QAPP preparing organization if different				
	Grant, Contract/Task Order, or Interagency Agreement Number				
	Date of QAPP Preparation				
	Period of Applicability				
	Revision #				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Document control (title; version #, date, page # of total pages)				
<b>A2 Approval Page</b>					
	Submitting organization project manager				
	Submitting organization QA personnel				
	Other submitting organization personnel				
	EPA project manager				
	EPA RQAM <i>Note: the RQAM signature is the last signature obtained on all QAPPs in R7</i>				
	Other EPA signatures as applicable				
<b>A3 Table of Contents</b>					
	Sections and page #s listed				
	List of figures				
	List of appendices/attachments				
<b>A4 Project Purpose and Problem Definition</b>					
	Other QA planning documents prepared for the project (e.g., R7 QMP, SAP, FSP, Work Plan, etc.)				
	Problem, issue, concern, question, etc. What problem is the project designed to address.				
	Why project is needed and how results will be used				
	Existing information sources and how used				
	Applicable programs and/or standards (e.g., action levels, cleanup levels, MCLs, RSLs, etc.)				
<b>A4 Project Background</b>					
	Information is provided that helps support the reason for the project and what led to the project (e.g., historical info, scientific info, previous studies or investigations, info/data from other sources, etc.)				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
<b>A5 Project Task Description</b>					
	Lists tasks to be performed				
	Lists measurements to be made				
	Gives a description of work to be performed				
	Identifies products to be produced				
	Includes a schedule for completing tasks and products; includes specific dates if known				
	Annual review of QAPP if project >1 year				
	If a long-term or generic QAPP, the five-year anniversary date, periodic review, and resubmittal at the five-year mark is recognized				
<b>A6 Information/Data Quality Objectives and Performance and or Acceptance Criteria</b>					
	Describes quality of data needed to meet project goals, to make any decisions, and to use the info/data as intended				
	<b>Precision:</b> <i>(A quantitative measure of the difference between values from repeated analyses; usually expressed as RPD or RSD.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- how precision will be evaluated or measured</li> <li>- how acceptable precision is defined</li> <li>- possible actions if not acceptable</li> <li>- frequency for any planned QC samples (e.g., field duplicates or replicates, lab duplicates, etc.)</li> <li>- precision addressed for both field and laboratory where applicable</li> </ul>				
	<b>Accuracy</b> <i>(a.k.a., bias: A quantitative measure of the difference between the reported value and the theoretical "True Value"; usually expressed as %Recovery.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- how accuracy will be evaluated or measured</li> </ul>				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- how acceptable accuracy is defined</li> <li>- possible actions if not acceptable</li> <li>- frequency for any QC samples (e.g., laboratory spiked samples, etc.)</li> <li>- accuracy addressed for both field and laboratory where applicable</li> </ul>				
	<b>Representativeness</b> <i>(A qualitative indicator of how well the reported value represents the conditions at the sampling site; usually addressed thru the design of the sampling or information gathering activities.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- notes what it means for the project and how it will be ensured</li> </ul>				
	<b>Comparability</b> <i>(A qualitative measure of how well the reporting value compares to other similar values; usually addressed thru the use of equivalent methods or procedures and how results are reported.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- what it means for the project and how it will be ensured</li> </ul>				
	<b>Completeness</b> <i>(A quantitative measure of the amount of valid data obtained from a measurement system compared to the amount that was expected to be obtained under correct normal conditions; usually expressed as %Completeness goal. What % of the analysis/data is needed to make a decision.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- completeness goal defined</li> <li>- both field and laboratory addressed</li> <li>- possible actions if not acceptable</li> </ul>				
	<b>Sensitivity</b> <i>(A quantitative indicator of 1. the smallest concentration of a given compound that can be reliably detected in the sample or 2. the smallest difference between reported values that can be reliably detected; usually involves comparing the achievable laboratory limits to the project's action levels.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- are these limits low enough to meet the standard defined in A4 Project Purpose and Problem Definition</li> </ul>				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	<p>(e.g. if the MCL is 5 and the lab limit is 2, that is sensitive enough but if the lab limit is 10, that is not sensitive enough)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- if the lab limit is not low enough, describes how this will be managed (e.g., look for an alternate method, look for an alternate lab, use the lab limit as the action level, have data reported down to the detection limit, etc.)</li> </ul> <p>If no action levels (e.g., MCLs, RSLs, cleanup levels, etc.) are specified, is presence/absence or establishing a baseline indicated</p>				
	<p>Existing information/data (Data or information to be used for the project but are not being newly generated by the project and are being obtained from some other source.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- info/data to be obtained are noted</li> <li>- identifies the source(s)</li> <li>- acceptance criteria defined</li> <li>- procedures to apply acceptance criteria described</li> <li>- how existing info/data to be used explained</li> </ul>				
A7 Distribution List					
	Submitting organization project manager				
	Submitting organization QA personnel				
	Others				
	EPA project manager				
	EPA RQAM				
	Others				
A8 Project Organization and A9 Project QAM Independence*					
*can be combined into one section as shown on this checklist or can be separate sections	All key personnel and organizations identified				
	QAPP approval authority				
	Executive leadership authority				
	Who will conduct the project				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Principal info/data user				
	Who maintains QAPP				
	Project responsibilities				
	QA personnel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- oversight authority for evaluating effectiveness of the QAPP</li> <li>- their access to senior leadership for quality-related issues</li> <li>- their independence from those responsible for conducting the environmental info/data activities</li> </ul>				
A10 Project Organization Chart and Communications					
	Org chart with lines of reporting (solid lines) and lines of communication (dashed lines)				
	Organization senior leader, submitting organization project manager, and submitting organization QA personnel				
	EPA project manager and EPA RQAM				
	Any contractors, subgrantees, laboratories, etc.				
	How differences and changes to QAPP will be communicated (e.g., discrepancies and non-conformances between project personnel and subgrantees or contractors; discrepancies between project personnel & EPA; process improvements; QAPP revisions, etc.) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- who responsible</li> <li>- how the communication should happen</li> <li>- in what timeframe the communication should occur</li> <li>- what approvals may be needed</li> </ul>				
A11 Personnel Trainings/ Certifications					
	Any needed training to perform project tasks				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	identified				
	Who ensures training is in place				
	Who documents the training				
	How the training will be provided				
	How will the needed skills be ensured				
	The system or procedures that will document the training and skills				
A12 Documents and Records					
	Lists all project documents, records, and reports to be produced				
	How all project documents, records, and reports will be managed				
	Final disposition including retention time & location				
B1 Identification of Project Environmental Information Operations					
	Any guidance, tools, templates, etc. used to develop the QAPP (e.g., QAPP Standard, R7 QAPP Template, R7 QAPP Review checklist, etc.)				
	Environmental info/data activities to be performed: - how they will meet the project's purpose - how they will meet the quality objectives - how they will meet the performance/acceptance criteria in A4 & A6				
	Sample types (e.g., grabs, composites, etc.) and numbers				
	Sampling approach (e.g., random, systematic grid, judgmental, etc.) and rationale (e.g., why they chose that design, why they chose those locations, etc.)				
	Sample locations and frequencies (can be shown on a site map or described in the text)				
	If sample locations will be determined in the				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	field, how this will be done is described				
	If field screening instruments will be used (e.g. PID, XRF, etc.), how the data will be used				
	If lab confirmation of field screening is planned, define the frequency, how lab confirmation samples chosen, and how field screening and lab data will be evaluated for acceptance				
	Sample matrices identified				
	Existing info/data sources – i.e., from where are they getting the existing data				
B2 Methods for Environmental Information Acquisition and B3 Integrity of Environmental Information					
*can be combined into one section as shown on this checklist or can be separate sections	<b>Field Operations</b>				
	Methods or procedures for collecting samples identified				
	Methods or procedures available to personnel performing the environmental info/data work				
	SOP/Procedure # <i><b>Note:</b> if R7 field SOPs are being followed, these SOPs simply need to be referenced; they DO NOT have to be attached to the QAPP itself. If samples are not being submitted to the R7 Laboratory, their SOPs do not apply and CANNOT be referenced.</i>				
	Title, revision, date, regulatory citation (if applies)				
	Modifications for the project if applicable				
	Selected option if options are provided in the method or procedure				
	If an EPA QAPP (i.e., a contractor is NOT doing the work), is conformance with QAFAP including SOPs recognized. E.g., Is the EPA staffer from a Branch/Section that is subject to the R7 QAFAP Protocols? Check with				



Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Bob Nichols.				
	Responsibility for maintaining methods or procedures				
	Chain-of custody procedures described or referenced				
	Sample handling (e.g., storage, packaging, shipment, labeling, etc.)				
	Examples of chain-of-custody form/logs and sample labels				
	Storage of samples at the laboratory				
	Sample containers, volumes, preservation (both physical and chemical), and holding times (incl. to extraction and to analysis where applicable) <i>Note: unless promulgated in a regulation or a specific program requirement, sample containers, volumes, preservation, and holding times are recommendations and variations may be allowed (e.g., VOC samples may be left unpreserved if a holding time of 7 days is observed or samples collected from a karst environment, a lab may need more sample volume for lab QC, etc.); confirm any variations before commenting</i>				
	<b>Laboratory Operations</b>				
	Laboratory/laboratories to perform analyses identified				
	How it is ensured required lab certification/accreditation is in place and maintained				
	Methods or procedures for analyzing samples identified				
	Base method references are correct and check to ensure that the methods cited include the contaminants of concern for the project <a href="#">SW-846</a> <a href="#">CWA Methods</a>				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	<a href="#">DW Methods</a> <a href="#">Standard Methods</a> <a href="#">ASTM</a> <i>Note: unless promulgated in a regulation or a specific program requirement, use of a certain method or version of a method is not necessarily required and there may be modifications allowed to the procedure; check with the R7 analyst on method modifications and request confirmation on method revision if different from current version before commenting.</i>				
	<b>SOP/Procedure #</b> <i><b>Note:</b> if R7 laboratory SOPs are being followed, these SOPs simply need to be referenced; they DO NOT have to be attached to the QAPP itself.</i>				
	Title, revision, date, regulatory citation (if applies)				
	Selected option if options are provided in the method or procedure				
	How lab corrective actions will be managed (described in text or reference provided)				
	Who responsible for lab corrective action				
	How effectiveness of corrective action determined and documented				
	Lab data package turnaround time if important to the project schedule				
	Method performance study information for non-standard circumstances (e.g., unusual matrices, unusual situations, etc.)				
	<b>Existing Information</b>				
	Information to be obtained				
	The collection process				
	Intended use of the information				
	How it is determined the information is acceptable for use in the project (e.g., acceptance criteria, review and evaluation, compatibility if it will be combined				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	<i>with new information)</i>				
B4 Quality Control					
	Type of QC samples defined <i>(e.g., blanks, duplicates, spikes, etc. for field samples and keep in mind there are no QA office requirements for the type, frequency, or acceptance criteria for field QC samples; laboratory QC samples are defined by the base method and the laboratory's analytical documentation)</i>				
	Frequency of each type of QC sample				
	Acceptance criteria or how results of QC samples will be reviewed for acceptability				
	Corrective action if QC results are not acceptable				
	How corrective actions documented, and their effectiveness determined				
	Procedures for calculating statistics <i>(e.g., RPD, %R, completeness, etc.)</i>				
	Existing information QC <i>(e.g., systematic review, an independent review of studies in open literature, QC of databases &amp; spreadsheets, etc.)</i>				
B5 Instruments/ Equipment Calibration, Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance					
	Lists instrument or equipment needing calibration, testing, inspection or maintenance				
	SOP reference or procedure				
	Who responsible				
	How the instrument or equipment will be calibrated, how often, and how calibration is documented so that it can be traced back to that specific instrument or equipment				
	How the instrument or equipment will be tested, inspected, and maintained and how often				
	Availability of spare parts as applicable				
B6 Inspection/ Acceptance of Supplies and Services					

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Supplies (e.g., spare parts, reagents, sample bottles, calibration standards, reagents, hoses, deionized water, potable water, and electronic data storage media, etc.) will be inspected to ensure they are acceptable and can be used				
	Services to be provided by others (e.g., contractors, sub-contractors, sub-grantees, etc.) are identified (e.g., laboratory analyses, well drilling, etc.)				
	Conformance with the QAPP will be verified for those providing services				
	Acceptability of supplies and services is documented				
B7 Environmental Information Management					
	Describes or references standard record-keeping procedures				
	Describes or references the document control system				
	Defines information storage including electronic media and other data repositories (e.g., STORET, AirQX, WQX, Scribe, etc.) <b>Note:</b> Make sure the QAPP cites where the data set will be stored - Evidence Act requirement. If missing, <b><u>CITE AS CRITICAL COMMENT TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE.</u></b>				
	Information retrieval on electronic media				
	Process for detecting and correcting error				
	Prevention of information loss during the information management process (e.g., data entry, data reduction, data reporting, etc.)				
	Examples or reference provided for any standard forms or checklists to be used <b>Note:</b> if R7 checklists or forms found in R7 SOP are being used, they can simply be referenced; these checklists and forms DO NOT need to be attached to the QAPP itself.				
	The procedures for processing, compiling, and analyzing both new and existing information				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Computer hardware/software to be used along with any specific performance requirements				
	Describes or references the procedures to make sure the hardware/software configuration are acceptable				
	Describes or references the procedures to ensure information management requirements are satisfied				
C1 Assessments and Response Actions					
	<b>Assessments</b>				
	Type(s) of assessments listed ( <i>e.g., audits, performance evaluations, management reviews, peer reviews, inspections, surveillances, readiness reviews, etc.</i> )				
	Number or frequency for each assessment listed				
	Who responsible for performing each assessment				
	Who responsible for responding to assessment findings				
	Who responsible for corrective actions				
	<b>Response Actions</b>				
	The process for developing response actions described or referenced				
	How response activities will be documented, tracked, and reported				
	Who has responsibility for response activities				
C2 Oversight and Reports to Management					
	Lists the type(s) of report(s) to be prepared				
	Describes the content for the report(s)				
	Who is responsible for transmitting the report(s)				
	Defines how the report(s) will be transmitted				
	Who will receive the report(s) [includes project manager, project QA manager, EPA organization]				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	Who has responsibilities to make sure oversight activities, response actions, and reporting mechanisms are in place				
D1 Environmental Information Review					
	<p><i>(Verification – reviewing the completeness and correctness of an info/data set as well as compliance with method, procedure, or contract requirements; can include but not be limited to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• verifying values of individual data points meet criteria specified in QAPP and data collection adheres to SOPs, method, and contracts</i></li> <li><i>• evaluating whether data collection plans, protocols, and instructions were followed;</i></li> <li><i>• determining if basic operations and calculations performed correctly</i></li> <li><i>• adding qualifiers to info/data points where applicable</i></li> <li><i>• obtaining all required data and reporting all deficiencies)</i></li> </ul> <p>Describes or references the information/data verification procedures</p>				
	<p><i>(Validation – the process of reviewing a verified information/data set before it is used to determine if it meets the project objectives and how any deviations or nonconformances may impact usability; can include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• comparing project documentation and results to what was planned for in the QAPP</i></li> <li><i>• determine if acceptance or performance criteria in A6 of the QAPP were met</i></li> <li><i>• document any deviations or deficiencies</i></li> <li><i>• look for any qualified info/data points</i></li> <li><i>• evaluate the impact of any deviations, deficiencies</i></li> </ul> <p>Describes or references the information/data validation procedures</p>				
D2 Useability Determination					
	Describes or references the process to determine if the project info/data are usable				
	How the data usability assessment will be documented				
	Identifies who is responsible				

Element	Information to Address	Okay	Not Okay	N/A	If element or corresponding information is not included or is not okay explain why: Additional comments:
	How any known or anticipated limitations will be documented and to whom				
	Describes or references any planned statistical analysis				

Additional Comments:

---

Reviewer Signature